

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/615,233	07/13/2000	ICHIRO KASAI	15162/02080	15162/02080 4352.	
24367	7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER		
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			LEWIS, DAVID LEE		
SUITE 3400			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	X 75201		2673		

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ÿ S	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/615,233	KASAI ET AL.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David L Lewis	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to places the application	to a on in		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. So R 1.136(a) and the appropi unt of the fee. The approp priginally set in the final Of	ee MPEP riate extension priate extension ffice action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed ar	nendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT p	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were r	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-13</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen					
10. Other:					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are not persuasive. Okamura teaches of an image that is formed on a screen 7 to also be reflected by that screen to the users pupil.

BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER